WT 10-187

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)
SOUTH CAROLINA EDUCATIONAL TELEVISION COMMISSION) WT Docket No.
Educational Broadband Service Stations WHR465 (B-Group), Conway, SC WHR609 (G-Group), Lancaster, SC WHR674 (C-Group), Columbia, SC WHR933 (C-Group), Walterboro, SC WHR957 (C-Group), Anderson, SC WHQ447 (B-Group), White Stone, SC WND422 (A-Group), Camden, SC WND451 (A-Group), Winnsboro, SC WND452 (A-Group), Pickens, SC WND532 (G-Group), Marion, SC WND533 (G-Group), Beaufort, SC WND534 (A-Group), Greenwood, SC Waiver of Section 27,1206 of the	FILED/ACCEPTED FILED/ACCEPTED SEP 1 4 2010 Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary
Commission's Rules	'

To: Office of the Secretary

Attn: Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

PETITION FOR WAIVER OF SECTION 27.1206 OF THE COMMISSION'S RULES

South Carolina Educational Television Commission ("SCETV"), by its attorneys und pursuant to Section 1.925 of the Commission's Rules, hereby requests a limited waiver of Section 27.1206 of the Commission's Rules to grant Geographic Service Areas ("GSAs") to Educational Broadband Service ("EBS") Stations WHR465, WHR609, WHR674, WHR933, WHR957, WHQ447, WND422, WND451, WND452, WND532, WND533 and WND534 (collectively, the "Stations"). Specifically, SCETV requests that the Stations be granted GSAs

¹ See Table 1 for a list of channels and locations for the Stations.

for those areas that are outside the GSAs of all neighboring co-channel EBS stations.

Grant of a limited waiver in this case would be consistent with the purpose of Section 27.1206 and comport with Commission precedent. In addition, grant of a limited waiver would benefit the public interest by allowing for the delivery of wireless broadband and educational services to areas that would not otherwise be able to receive service.

I. Background

SCETV, a state governmental entity in South Carolina, is the long-time operator of the premier EBS network in the country. SCETV's EBS network consists of over 65 constructed EBS facilities that provide multi-channel coverage of schools and other educational sites ucross South Carolina. The development of SCETV's EBS network has been strongly supported by the State of South Carolina, which has committed enormous resources to the construction and operation of the network. SCETV and the State of South Carolina are committed to the continued use and implementation of SCETV's EBS network for the delivery of educational services to South Carolina residents.²

As part of the development of its network, SCETV applied for authorization to construct and operate the Stations as studio-to-transmitter ("STL") links, which authorizations were granted by the Commission on a secondary basis. At the time, SCETV intended to utilize the Stations to relay signals from origination points to its traditional EBS stations, which transmitted SCETV's video services over wide service areas across the state.

The Stations were not granted GSAs when the Commission converted EBS licenses to

for these leases has been obtained. See File Nos. 0004035670 and 0004037612, respectively.

In late 2009, after an extensive South Carolina state-managed bidding process, SCETV entered into separate EBS excess capacity lease agreements with Clearwise Spectrum Holdings III and with Digital Bridge Spectrum under which one or the other leases will use excess capacity of each SCETV station, including the stations subject to this waiver request. Commission approval

geographic licenses in 2005 because the GSAs were based on the existing protected service areas ("PSAs") of each EBS station.³ Section 27.1206 of the Commission's Rules expressly states in relevant part that the GSA of an EBS station is based on the "the previous [protected service area] entitled to incumbent licensees prior to January 10, 2005." Because the Commission had previously concluded that point-to-point EBS stations authorized on a secondary basis were not entitled to PSA protection – on the basis that such stations have not traditionally been given protection relative to primary stations – the Stations were not entitled to receive GSAs.⁵

SCETV is now in the process of transitioning its EBS facilities from a traditional one-way video model to a model that relies on wireless broadband deployments in conjunction with its wireless operators lessees Clearwise Spectrum Holdings III and Digital Bridge Spectrum Corp. Unless the Stations are able to obtain GSAs, however, there will be significant coverage gaps in SCETV's and the operators' networks. Accordingly, SCETV requests waiver of Section 27.1206 of the Commission's Rules to allow for grant of GSAs to the Stations.

II. Grant of Limited Waiver Would Be Consistent with the Purpose of the Rule and Comport with Commission Precedent

Grant of GSAs to the Stations would be consistent with the purpose of Section 27.1206.

The purpose of denying PSAs to secondary STLs such as the Stations was to ensure that such

³ See Amendment of Parts 1, 21, 73, 74 and 101 of the Commission's Rules to Facilitate the Provision of Fixed and Mobile Broadband Access, Educational and Other Advanced Services in the 2150-2162 and 2500-2690 MHz Bands, Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, WT Docket NO. 03-66, 19 FCC Red 14165, 14190-91 ¶ 55 (2004).

⁴ See 47 U.S.C. § 27.1206(a).

⁵ See Amendment of Parta 21 and 74 to Enable Moltipoint Distribution Service and Instructional Fixed Service Licensees to Engage in Fixed Two-Woy Transmissions, Report and Order on Further Reconsideration and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, MM Docket No. 97-217, 15 FCC Red 14566, 14572 ¶ 24 (2000).

stations would remain subordinate to neighboring EBS stations.⁶ In this case, SCETV requests that the Stations be granted GSAs only for those areas that are outside the GSAs of neighboring co-channel EBS stations.⁷ Thus, grant of limited waiver in this case would maintain the subordinate status of the Stations relative to neighboring co-channel EBS stations.

Grant of a limited waiver would also comport with Commission precedent. In Florida

Atlantic University, the Commission granted a similar waiver to Florida Atlantic University

("FAU"), which had requested grant of GSAs for its EBS stations that were originally licensed as

STLs on a secondary basis.⁸ The Commission concluded that, under those circumstances,

allowing FAU's secondary EBS stations to have GSAs would be consistent with the policy

⁶ See Florida Atlantic University, Order on Further Reconsideration, DA 08-967 at ¶ 10 (rel. April 25, 2008) ("FAU Case").

⁷ See Maps of Proposed GSAs in Attachment A. The Commission should note that in the case of three of the Stations, the GSAs of particular channels will be different because of differences in neighboring co-channel station GSAs. For WHR609 on the G group at Lancaster, South Carolina, the GSA for Channels G1 and G2 is slightly smaller than the GSA for Channels G3 and G4 because of differing GSAs for stations WND598 on G1 and G2 at Newell, North Caroline, and WND599 on G3 and G4 at Charlotte, North Carolina. For WND422 on the A group at Camden, South Carolina, the GSA for Channels A1 and A2 is slightly smuller than the GSA for Channels A3 and A4 because of the existence of station WBX216 on Channels A1 and A2 only at York, South Caroline. For WND451 on the A group at Winnsboro, South Carolina, the GSA for Channels A1 and A2 is much smaller than the GSA for Channels A3 and A4, again due to the existence of station WBX216 on Channels A1 and A2 at York.

⁸ See FAU Case at ¶ 1. Although FAU's waiver request was supported by consents from the licensees of neighboring EBS stations, who supported the grant of GSAs to FAU's stations so long as their own EBS stations did not lose any portion of their respective GSAs, FAU Case at ¶¶ 7, 9, the existence of consents in that case does not appear to have heen a determinative or even material factor, so long as neighboring co-channel EBS stations were in fact protected from encroachment on their GSAs. SCETV notes that, in other cases where the FCC has granted waivers to grant GSAs, in particular GSAs for new EBS stations pursuant to waivers of the freeze on filing of new station applications, it has done so without requiring consents from neighboring stations, so long as those stations' GSAs were protected. See, e.g., Baard of Trustees of Northern Michigan University, Memorandum Opinion and Order, DA 08-1674 (August 6, 2008) at ¶ 14.

underlying Section 27.1206.9

SCETV respectfully submits that grant of GSAs to the Stations in this case, for only those areas that are outside the GSAs of neighboring co-channel EBS stations - and subject to the condition that the GSAs of neighboring EBS stations will not be reduced - would also be consistent with the Commission's policy goals underlying Section 27.1206.

III. Grant of a Limited Waiver Would Benefit the Public Interest

Grant of a limited waiver of Section 27.1206 of the Commission's Rules would also benefit the public interest. In Florida Atlantic University, the Commission concluded that a limited waiver to allow grant of GSAs to FAU's secondary EBS stations would be in the public interest because it would allow FAU to serve areas that would not otherwise be able to receive service. 10 In this case, grant of GSAs to the Stations would allow SCETV to climinate significant coverage gaps in its network of EBS stations - and thus deliver wireless broadband and educational services to areas that would otherwise be left without service. Accordingly, grant of the limited waiver requested by SCETV would also benefit the public interest.

IV. Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, SCETV requests that the Commission grant a limited waiver of Section 27.1206 of the Commission's Rules to grant GSAs to the Stations. Grant of a limited waiver of Section 27.1206 would ensure that the Stations remain "subordinate" to neighboring co-channel EBS stations while also allowing for the delivery of wireless broadband and educational services to areas that would not otherwise be able to receive service.

⁹ See FAU Case at ¶ 10.

Respectfully Submitted,

SOUTH CAROLINA EDUCATIONAL TELEVISION COMMISSION

By: Todd D. Gray

Todd D. Gray Barry Persh Mario J. Weber Its Attorneys

DOW LOHNES PLLC

1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036

202-776-2571 tgray@dowlohnes.com

August 6, 2010

¹⁰ See id at ¶ 10.

TABLE 1
LIST OF STATIONS, CHANNELS and LOCATIONS

CALL SIGN	CHANNELS	LOCATION
WHR465	B1, B2, B3, B4	Conway, SC
WHR609	G1, G2, G3, G4	Lancaster, SC
WHR674	C1, C2, C3, C4	Columbia, SC
WHR933	C1, C2, C3, C4	Walterboro, SC
WHR957	C1, C2, C3, C4	Anderson, SC
WHQ447	B1, B2, B3, B4	White Stone, SC
WND422	A1, A2, A3, A4	Camden, SC
WND451	A1, A2, A3, A4	Winnsboro, SC
WND452	A1, A2, A3, A4	Pickens, SC
WND532	G1, G2, G3, G4	Marion, SC
WND533	G1, G2, G3, G4	Beaufort, SC
WND534	A1, A2, A3, A4	Greenwood, SC

ATTACHMENT A

Maps Showing Proposed GSAs for the Stations

(and demonstrating no encroachment on neighboring co-channel station GSAs)























